# EXHIBIT 22

Message From:

Keith, Clara [clara.keith@dbhdd.ga.gov]

**Sent**: 10/17/2016 5:36:20 PM

To: Nakeba Rahming [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=879d33dd936548a39333444c70241d1f-Nakeba Rahm]

**Subject:** Guidance for completing strategic plan and self assessment

Attachments: Guidance for Completing the GNETS Strategic Plan and Self.docx

Nakeba,

I finally finished the guidance document. Looking forward to reviewing with you for the needed edits.

Clara

# Clara G. Keith

Director

Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities (DBHDD)

2 Peachtree Street, NW

Suite 22.216

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

404.964.8007

Clara.Keith@dbhdd.ga.gov

This e-mail message and all attachments transmitted with it may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information intended solely for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient or authorized to receive this for the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, discussion, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this message and all copies and backups thereof.

#### 

## Guidance for Completing the GNETS Strategic Plan and Self-Assessment Rubric

This supporting document should be utilized as a tool when completing the GNETS Strategic Plan and Self-Assessment Rubric with leadership teams. An effective strategy would be to distribute sections of the rubric to teams that may be responsible for implementing those components (e.g., instructional and Academic Support – your academic lead). Documentation and evidence to determine ratings are listed below. This list is not intended to be exhaustive and other documentation or evidence may be appropriate as well.

	Section 1: Program Leadership
Components	Evidence
1A. Ensure that the strategic plan	Operational: Documentation that shows how program initiatives AND
expectations are implemented.	budgets aligned with the strategic plan activities.
	Emerging: Documentation that shows how program initiatives aligned with
	the strategic plan activities.
	Not Evident: No documentation that shows how program initiatives or
	budgets aligned with the strategic plan activities.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: grant applications,
	presentations, meeting agendas, and program initiatives & activities, links,
	videos, pictures
1B. Promote awareness and	Operational: Documentation to show that the strategic plan was shared at
implementation of strategic plan activities	staff meetings <u>AND</u> included staff activities and evaluation feedback.
with staff.	<b>Emerging:</b> Documentation that shows that the strategic plan was shared at a
	minimum of one staff meeting.
	Not Evident: No documentation to show that the strategic plan was shared at
	any staff meeting.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: meeting agendas, sign-in
	sheets, feedback survey, links, videos, embedded activities, pictures
1C. Complete strategic plan rubric ratings.	Operational: Documentation to show that the strategic plan was rated as a
	team and that the Improvement Summary Plan was completed AND shared
	with key stakeholders.
	<b>Emerging:</b> Documentation to show that the strategic plan was rated as a
	team and the Improvement Summary Plan was completed.
	Not Evident: No Documentation to show that the strategic plan was rated as
	a <u>team</u> nor was the Improvement Summary Plan completed.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: meeting agendas and sign-
:	in sheets from stakeholder meetings, team list with signatures, rated strategic
	plan and Improvement Summary Plan
1D. Attend and participate in GNETS	Operational: Documentation of 80% or better attendance at directors
Directors meetings.	meetings and engaged in at least one opportunity to present to colleagues, if
	requested.
	Emerging: Documentation of less than 80% attendance at directors meetings
	and engaged in at least one opportunity to present to colleagues, if
	requested.
	Not Evident: Did not attend any of the directors meetings nor engaged in
	opportunities to present positive program outcomes.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: travel documents, meeting
	agendas and handouts, presentation slides, links, feedback survey, google
	drives

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS

•	Case 1:16-cv-03088-EL	R Document 395-56 Filed 10/21/23 Page 4 of 14
	1E. Participate in Professional Learning that	Operational: Documentation to show attendance and active engagement in
	are aligned to goals.	professional learning activities aligned to individual professional and strategic
		plan goals <u>AND</u> evidence to show implementation of strategies.
		Emerging: Documentation to show attendance and active engagement in

plan goals.

Not Evident: No Documentation to show attendance and active engagement in professional learning activities aligned to individual professional and strategic plan goals.

professional learning activities aligned to individual professional and strategic

Documentation may include but is not limited to: Travel documents, conference or PL agendas, goals and aligned PL, implementation plan, fidelity checklist for implementation, data, links, google drives, videos, pictures

Section 2: Behavior Support and Therapeutic Services	
Components	Evidence
2A. Implement School-wide Positive Behavior Intervention Supports (PBIS).	Operational: Documentation of the PBIS End-of Year Data Report that was recommended as operational or exemplary by the state team.  Emerging: Documentation of the PBIS End-of Year Data Report that was recommended as emerging or installing by the state team.  Not Evident: Did not submit the PBIS End-of Year Data Report to show evidence of implementation PBIS.
	Documentation: PBIS End-of-Year Data Report
2B: Implement Trauma Informed Care (TIC) Practices.	Operational: Documentation to show completion of GNETS Trauma Informed Care trainings with staff AND completion of the TIC environment checklist with plans to respond to the data.  Emerging: Documentation to show completion of GNETS Trauma Informed Care trainings with staff OR completed the TIC environment checklist with plans to respond to the data.  Not Evident: Did not complete GNETS Trauma Informed Care trainings with staff nor completed the TIC environment checklist.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: staff feedback, sign-in sheets, TIC environment checklist, data driven action plans
2C. Establish FBA/BIP "teams" and meetings.	Operational: Documentation to show that the program has an FBA/BIP team or a team that completes and manages students' FBAs/BIPs AND met at least 3 times per year to collectively complete and manage students' FBAs/BIPs Emerging: Documentation to show that the program has an FBA/BIP team or a team that completes and manages students' FBAs/BIPs but did not meet at least 3 times a year to collectively complete and manage students' FBAs/BIPs.  Not Evident: There is no FBA/BIP team and no team met to collectively complete and manage students' FBAs/BIPs.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: FBAs, list of team members, meeting agendas, meeting minutes, meeting calendar/schedule, FBA data
2D. Ensure staff engage in Professional	Operational: Documentation to show that 80% or more of the staff attended FBA/BIP professional learning AND at least 20% of those staff can show

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS

Case 1:16-cv-03088-EL Learning related to FBA/BIP	R Document 395-56 Filed 10/21/23 Page 5 of 14 evidence of supporting/coaching other staff with FBA/BIP.
Learning related to FBA/BIP	Emerging: Documentation to show that 80% or more of staff attended
	FBA/BIP professional learning.
	Not Evident: Documentation that shows less than 80% of staff attended
	FBA/BIP professional learning.
	, by an protestional learning.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: Agendas, travel
	documents, coaching cycles/schedules/notes, sign-in sheets, support logs
	presentation slides, sample reports, links, support logs
2E. Complete Students' Social-Emotional	Operational: 80% or more students (non ASD) were assessed during the
Screenings and Measures	assessment windows for the SDQ and the BASC – 3, the aggregate data was
	shared with staff <u>AND</u> plans were created to respond to the data.
	Emerging: Less than 80% of the program's students (non ASD) were assessed
	during the assessment windows for the SDQ and the BASC -3 AND the
	aggregate data was shared with program staff for planning.
	Not Evident: None of the students (non ASD) were assessed during the
	assessment windows for the SDQ and the BASC-3.
	* ASD – Autistic Spectrum Disorders, BASC 3 – Behavior Assessment System for
	Children, Third Edition, SDQ – Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: Data to show the percent of
	students assessed, evidence for sharing of data, plans for responding to the
	data
2F. Use data from Students' Social-	Operational: Documentation to show that data from the SDQ and BASC-3
Emotional Screenings and Measures to write	was used to write social-emotional IEP goals, BIPs, treatment plans <u>AND</u>
students' goals.	evidence to show that the data was used to monitor students'
	growth/change for exiting or transitioning to the least restrictive
	environment.
	<b>Emerging:</b> Documentation to show that data from the SDQ and BASC-3 were used to write social-emotional IEP goals, BIPs and/or treatment plans.
	Not Evident: Documentation that shows no Data from the SDQ and BASC-3
	were used to write social-emotional IEP goals, BIPs and/or treatment plans.
	Were used to write social emotional in goals, but a diag of diseasement plane.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: SDQ and BASC-3 data,
	alignment in IEPs and/or BIPs and plans for responding to data.
2G. Assess students diagnosed with Autism	Operational: Documentation to show that the data from ASD measures were
Spectrum Disorder (ASD) using standardized	used to write IEP goals, BIPs and/or treatment plans AND the data is used to
ASD measures that are sensitive to growth.	monitor students' growth/change for exiting or transitioning to the least
TOD MEASURES CHICAGO SCHOOL TO BROWER.	restrictive environment.
	Emerging: Documentation to show that data from the ASD measures were
	used to write IEP goals, BIPs and/or treatment plans.
	<b>Not Evident:</b> Documentation to show that data from ASD measures were not used to write IEP goals, BIPs and/or treatment plans.
	not used to write iter goals, pirs and/or treatment plans.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: Data from ASD measures,
	IEP goals, BIPs, treatment plans, and plans for responding to the data
2H. Participate in Life Space Crisis	Operational: Documentation to show that designated staff members
Intervention (LSCI) professional learning	attended annually scheduled crisis intervention (LSCI) trainings or refreshers
	and supported other program staff with LSCI strategies.
	Emerging: Documentation to show that designated staff members attended

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS October, 2016 Page | 3 Emerging: Documentation to show that designated staff members attended

Case 1:16-cv-03088-EL	R Document 395-56 Filed 10/21/23 Page 6 of 14
	annually scheduled crisis intervention (LSCI) trainings or refreshers.
	Not Evident: Documentation to show that no staff members were
	designated to attend annually scheduled crisis intervention (LSCI) trainings
	or refreshers.
	Decumentation may include but is not limited to: Assender travel decuments
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: Agendas, travel documents, support logs, sign-in sheets, rationale for why there was no need for
	designated staff to attend may improve rating from not-evident
21. Implement Life Space Crisis Intervention	Operational: Documentation to show that the program's fidelity checklist for
strategies/activities	LSCI meets or exceeds expectations AND documentation to show the
su ategies/activities	number or percent of students supported with LSCI strategies.
	Emerging: Documentation to show that the program's fidelity checklist for
	LSCI meets or exceeds expectations but there is no documentation on the
	number or percent of students supported with LSCI strategies.
	Not Evident: No documentation to show that the LSCI fidelity checklist was
	completed and/or the fidelity checklist for LSCI is below expectations.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: LSCI fidelity checklist, data
	for students supported with LSCI strategies, notes
2J. Participate in Professional Learning for	Operational: Documentation to show that 80% or more of staff were trained
evidence-based restraint methods	in evidenced-based restraint methods (Mindset, CPI, etc.) <u>AND</u> at least 20%
consistent with State Board Rule: 160-5-1-	of those staff can show evidence of supporting/coaching other staff with
.35	evidence-based restraint methods.
	Emerging: Less than 80% of the program's staff are trained in evidenced-
	based restraint methods (Mindset, CPI, etc.)
,	Not Evident: None of the program's staff has been trained in evidence-
	based restraint methods (Mindset, CPI, etc.)
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: Agendas, travel documents,
	support logs, schedules, notes, sign-in sheets
2K. Implement of restraint methods to	Operational: Documentation and/or evidence to show evidence-based
ensure proper use of de-escalation	restraint procedures, the staff use of those procedures and de-escalation
	strategies with students AND plans to reduce the number of students in
strategies consistent with State Board Rule:	need of restraints.
160-5-135	Emerging: Documentation and/or evidence to show evidence-based
	restraint procedures, the staff use those procedures and de-escalation
	strategies with students.
	<b>Not Evident:</b> No documentation <u>or evidence</u> that shows evidence-based
	restraint procedures and/or that inappropriate restraint methods and de-
	escalation strategies were used with students.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: Monitoring documents,
	restraint incident reports, debriefing notes, restraint procedures, restraint
	data
2L. Match students to tiered	Operational Decomposition AND data to the second state of the seco
	<b>Operational:</b> Documentation <u>AND</u> data to show why students were matched to tiered interventions for emotional/behavior support.
emotional/behavioral interventions based	Emerging: Documentation to show why students were matched to tiered
on need.	interventions for emotional/behavior support.
	<b>Not Evident:</b> No documentation or data to show why students were
	matched to tiered interventions for emotional/behavior support.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: BIPs, treatment plans,

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS October, 2016

Page | 4

Case 1:16-cv-03088-E	ELR Document 395-56 Filed 10/21/23 Page 7 of 14
	screening data, social-emotional assessment data, notes
2M. Develop interagency mental health partnerships to support students' needs	Operational: Documentation of partnerships with agencies/universities, designated personnel attendance at scheduled LIPT meetings AND the number/percent of students receiving services from external partners/agencies.  Emerging: Documentation of partnerships with agencies/universities and designated personnel attendance at LIPT meetings.  Not Evident: No Documentation of partnerships with agencies/universities or participation at scheduled LIPT meetings
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: MOUs, contracts, service

logs, front office sign-in sheets, meeting notes, agendas

Section 3: Instructional/Academic Supports	
Components	Evidence
3A. Plan and deliver instruction based on Georgia's standards for all content areas.	Operational: Documentation to show that 80% of teachers designed lesson plans aligned to Georgia content standards that addresses the differentiated needs of students AND formative/outcome assessment data was used to design the lesson plan.  Emerging: Documentation to show that less than 80% of teachers designed lesson plans aligned to Georgia content standards that addresses the differentiated needs of students.  Not Evident: No documentation to show that teachers designed lesson plans aligned to Georgia content standards that addresses the differentiated needs of students.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: TAPS data, walk-throughs/observations, lesson plans, formative assessments, assessment data (Georgia milestones and other formative tests)
3B. Ensure teachers maintain a positive and	Operational: Documentation to show that 80% or more teachers
academically challenging learning environment in accordance with TAPS standards.	maintain a positive and academically challenging environment based on TAPS standards <u>AND</u> support other teachers with building skills in these areas.
	Emerging: Documentation to show that 50% - 79% of teachers maintain a positive and academically challenging environment based on TAPS standards.
	<b>Not Evident:</b> Documentation that shows that less than 50% of teachers maintain a positive or academically challenging environment based on TAPS standards.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: TAPS data, walk- throughs/observations, classroom expectations, and classroom management actions
3C. Match students to skill-based tiered academic instruction based on diagnostic data	Operational: Documentation <u>AND</u> diagnostic data to show how/why students were matched to skill-based tiered instruction.
mstruction based on gragnostic data	Emerging: Documentation to show how/why students were matched to skill-based tiered instruction.
	<b>Not Evident:</b> No documentation or data to show how/why students were matched to skill-based tiered instruction.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: intervention

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS

Case 1:16-cv-03088-ELR	Document 395-56 Filed 10/21/23 Page 8 of 14
	profiles/groups, treatment plans, diagnostic data, screening data, and outcome data
3D. Ensure teachers are using skill-based	Operational: Documentation to show that 80% or more of students
supplemental programs with fidelity.	received skill-based supplemental support for at least 90 minutes per week.
	Emerging: Documentation to show that 50% to 79% of students
	received skill-based supplemental support for at least 90 minutes per week.
	Not Evident: Documentation to show that less than 50% of students
	received skill-based supplemental support for at least 90 minutes per week.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: Fidelity checklists,
	program usage data, planning documents, intervention profiles from supplemental program, action plans to respond to data
3E. Monitor certified and license staff attendance	Operational: Documentation to show that 80% or more certified and
and participation in Professional Learning activities.	license staff attended professional learning activities <u>AND</u> evidence to show application of strategies.
	Emerging: Documentation to show that 80% or more certified and license staff attended professional learning activities.
	Not Evident: No documentation to show that 80% or more certified
	and license staff attended professional learning activities.
	Documentation may include but is not limited to: PL Rubric, travel
	documents, conference or training agendas, PL goals, observation notes of application, lesson plans, data
3E.1. Monitor support staff attendance and	Operational: Documentation to show that 80% or more support staff
participation in Professional Learning activities.	attended professional learning activities <u>AND</u> evidence to show application of strategies.
	Emerging: Documentation to show that 80% or more support staff attended professional learning activities.
	Not Evident: No documentation to show that 80% or more support
	1406 Establish 140 documentation to show that oom of thore support

staff attended professional learning activities.

of application, lesson plans, data

Documentation may include but is not limited to: PL Rubric, travel documents, conference or training agendas, PL goals, observation notes

Section 4: P	rogram Funding and Fiscal Management
Components	Evidence
4A. Comply with GNETS state approved	Operational:
budget.	Documentation that shows budget requests are developed
	consistently with how program funds may be spent AND is submitted

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS

#### Case 1:16-cv-03088-ELR Document 395-56 Filed 10/21/23 Page 9 of 14 within the time frame for approval AND approval is granted with revisions to less than 90% of the budget. **Emerging:** Documentation that shows budget requests are developed consistently with how program funds may be spent AND is submitted within the time for approval AND approval is granted with revisions to over 80% of the budget. Not Evident: Budget is not submitted within the timeframe for approval OR budget requests are not consistent with how program funds may be spent OR revisions are required for more than 50% of the budget. Documentation may include but is not limited to: data from the Consolidated Application's "Audit" folder, emails, State audit reports 4B. Ensure fiscal accountability of all Operational: allocated funds. Documentation that shows no State audit findings in the area of internal controls and procurement violations in the most recent State audit report AND director and accounting staff follow established procurement procedures. Emerging: Documentation that shows audit findings in the area of internal controls BUT no findings in the area of procurement AND director and accounting staff follow established procurement procedures. Not Evident: Internal controls and procurement violations were cited in the most recent audit report AND corrective action/s from previous audit reports were not corrected OR procurement procedures were not followed for more than 80% of the purchases. Documentation may include but is not limited to: audit reports and corrective action, if necessary; data from the fiscal agent regarding policies on the following: cash management, procurement, travel, technical evaluations, accounting records, expenditure reports, POs, invoices, travel vouchers and related documentation 4C. Plan and submit the annual grant Operational: Documentation that shows Strategic plan goals and needs assessment application with a keen focus on the budget are aligned with current fiscal year budget request by function and to support the GNETS mission, goals, and object AND instructional and therapeutic budget and/or in-kind SBOE rule. contributions from LEAs. **Emerging:** Documentation that shows Strategic plan goals are aligned with current fiscal year budget requests AND in-kind contributions from LEAs. Not Evident: No documentation to show Strategic plan goals and budget alignment. Documentation may include but is not limited to: application submitted through the Consolidated Application, budget alignment documents, strategic plan goals and activities aligned to budget projections; agendas for budget discussion with LEAs, Memoranda of Agreement, record of in-kind contribution clearly defining the service

and cost

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS October, 2016 Page | 7

Case 1:16-cv-03088-ELR Document 395-56 Filed 10/21/23 Page 10 of 14 4D. Ensure the allocation of supports and Operational: Documentation that shows communication with a minimum of 80% of resources to facilitate flexible models of LEAs in service area about allocation of support and resources AND service delivery and best practices for resource allocation map to show rationale for needed resources AND equitable educational opportunities. resources are aligned to needs assessment. **Emerging:** Documentation that shows communication with a minimum of 70% of LEAs in service area about allocation of support and resources AND communication about rationale for resources. Not Evident: No documentation to show communication with LEAs in service area about allocation of support and resources. Documentation may include but is not limited to: In-kind support from LEAs, funded positions in budgets, emails, meeting notices, meeting minutes, needs assessment/resource allocation map 4E. Ensure all funds not spent in current FY Operational: Documentation that shows request to carry forward funding is are carried forward for the next fiscal year. completed within the timeframe AND a rationale to support such request that demonstrated conditions beyond the control to the director or fiscal agent. **Emerging:** Documentation that shows request to carry forward funding is completed with the timeframe. Not Evident: No documentation that shows funds were requested to be carried forward.

Documentation may include but is not limited to: email notice to carry forward funds, carry forward funds budgeted in the Consolidated Application, minutes and/or notes from meetings discussing carry forward funding

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS October, 2016 Page | 8

#### Section 5: Integration of Services and Capacity Building

#### Components

### 5A. Consideration for GNETS Services: Ensure the GNETS and LEA continuum of services are used appropriately during IEP meetings to determine the best service options for students.

#### Evidence

#### Operational:

Documentation that shows reviews of a minimum of 80% of student documents to determine request for services and documented needs of students in a GNETS classroom or center AND collaboration with LEAs to ensure that documents (FBA/BIP, Evaluation, social history, etc.) are available for a minimum of 80% of requests to support the consideration of GNETS services prior to and/or during IEP meetings AND exit criteria (IEP Goals) are established at ALL IEP meetings.

#### **Emerging:**

Documentation that shows a minimum of 75% of student documents to determine request for services and documented needs of students in a GNETS classroom or center AND collaboration with LEAs to ensure that documents (FBA/BIP, Evaluation, social history, etc.) are available for a minimum of 70% of requests to support the consideration of GNETS services prior to and/or during IEP meetings AND exit criteria (IEP Goals) are established at ALL IEP meetings.

#### Not evident:

Available documentation does not meet the minimum criteria established in emerging or no documentation that shows student documents or collaboration with LEAs or exit criteria for ALL IEP meeting.

Documentation may include but is not limited to: Student files that contain documentation to support consideration for GNETS services, documentation that supports an emergency referral was warranted, FBA/BIP, 3-year reevaluation, Social History, Medical Records, Parent, teacher, and or student reports

5B. Exiting GNETS Services: Ensure LEA and GNETS staff collaboratively establish attainable IEP goals to include a process for reviewing progress monitoring data to exit and/or transition to the least restrictive environment.

#### Operational:

Documentation that shows IEP goals are established and used as the exit criteria for ALL students receiving GNETS services AND exit/transition goals are attainable and aligned with the referring behaviors at least 80% of the time AND collaboration with LEAs to plan and communicate transition services and supports prior to ALL student's complete exit.

#### **Emerging:**

Documentation that shows IEP goals are established and used as the exit criteria for ALL students receiving GNETS services AND exit/transition goals are attainable and aligned with the referring behaviors at least 60% of the time AND collaboration with LEAs to plan and communicate transition services and supports prior to ALL student's complete exit.

#### Not Evident:

No documentation that shows IEP goals are established AND collaboration with LEAs to plan and communicate transition services.

Documentation includes but is not limited to: IEP goals to exit or transition for all students, supporting documentation and data collection aligned to exit goals, documentation of transition plans with

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS October, 2016

Page | 9

_ Case 1:16-cv-03088-ELR	Document 395-56 Filed 10/21/23 Page 12 of 14
	LEAs, supporting evidence for a change in exit goal/criteria, exit criteria
	documented in the state grant application
5C. Reintegration Plan: Ensure GNETS staff	Operational:
use a consistent plan with LEAs for students	Documentation that shows collaboration with ALL LEAs to identify
to be successfully reintegrated into the	opportunities for students to receive GNETS services in the Least
general education setting.	Restrictive Environment (LRE), inform ALL fiscal agents of the
	resources needed to provide equal educational opportunities for
	GNETS students AND identify and outline how in-kind and financial contributions from LEAs support student reintegration and/or access
	to equal educational opportunities AND collaborate with LEAs to
	determine opportunities for a minimum of 80% of appropriate
	students to take some courses in the general education setting.
	Emerging:
	Documentation that shows collaboration with ALL LEAs to identify
	opportunities for students to receive GNETS services in the Least
	Restrictive Environment (LRE), inform ALL fiscal agents of the
	resources needed to provide equal educational opportunities for GNETS students AND identify and outline how in-kind and financial
	contributions from LEAs support student reintegration and/or access
	to equal educational opportunities.
	Not Evident:
	No documentation that shows collaboration with all LEAs to identify
	opportunities for students to receive GNETS services in the LRE.
	Documentation includes but is not limited to: Evidence that shows
	attempts to align LEAs and GNETS courses/materials, records of the
	number and percent of students receiving GNETS services in the
	general education setting, records showing the number and percent of
TD Complete Desired	students that enter and exit the program each year by disability
5D. Capacity Building: Engage in professional learning and technical assistance for general	Operational:  Documentation that shows communication with a minimum of 80% of
education school personnel and parents.	LEAs and RESAs in the GNETS service areas to determine professional
eadeasier, sorroo, personner una parentes.	learning opportunities and needs of LEAs and parents AND
	schedule/deliver/support professional learning DIRECTLY aligned to
	needs.
	Emerging:
	Documentation that shows communication with at least 60% of LEAs
	and RESAs in the GNETS service areas to determine professional
	learning opportunities and needs of LEAs and parents AND schedule/deliver/support professional learning DIRECTLY aligned to
	needs.
	Not Evident:
	No documentation that shows collaboration with LEAs and RESAs in
	the GNETS service areas to determine/schedule/deliver/support
	professional learning DIRECTLY aligned to needs.
	Documentation includes but is not limited to: Training materials, sign-
	in sheets, feedback ratings, training agendas, training request/needs
	assessment form, network Brochure

Section 6: Program Accountability	
Components	Evidence
6A. Share results from the strategic plan ratings with stakeholders.	Operational: Documentation that shows results of the strategic plan ratings AND results of the Improvement Summary aligned with ratings results were shared with a minimum of 80% of key stakeholders.
	Emerging:  Documentation that shows results of the strategic plan ratings were communicated with a minimum of 70% of the key stakeholders.  Not Evident:
	No documentation to show results of the strategic plan.
	Documentation includes but is not limited to: rubric ratings, improvement summary, list of key stakeholders, sign-in sheets, meeting/discussion notes
6B. Complete the strategic plan improvement	Operational:
summary form.	Documentation to show calculation of the overall rating for each section of the strategic plan AND the prioritized area(s) to be improved for the new year.  Emerging:
	Documentation to show calculation of the overall rating for each section of the strategic plan.
	Not Evident:  No evidence of a calculation of the overall rating for each section of the strategic plan.
	Documentation includes but is not limited to: improvement summary, list of priorities for upcoming year

Section 7: Facilities Management and Safety				
Components	Evidence			
7A. Monitor site for safety and ADA	Operational:			
compliance and maintenance.	Documentation to show use of the GSFIC facility condition assessment			
	to conduct at a minimum quarterly monitoring of facilities at ALL locations.			
	Emerging:			
	Documentation to show use of the GSFIC facility condition assessment			
	to conduct less than quarterly monitoring of facilities at ALL locations.  Not Evident:			
	No evidence to show use of the GSFIC facility condition assessment was used to conduct monitoring of facilities.			
	Documentation includes but is not limited to: ratings on GSFIC facility condition assessment checklist, training for staff, minutes/notes			
7B. Communicate all identified concerns to	Operational:			
the LEA and/or SEA and advocate for	Documentation to show at a minimum quarterly monitoring areas			
repairs/improvements.	rated as poor and/or critical on the GSFIC facility condition assessment checklist AND requests for maintenance support with key stakeholder			
	for ALL locations.			

Georgia Department of Education: GNETS

 Case 1:16-cv-03088-ELR	Document 395-56	Filed 10/21/23	Page 14 of 14
	poor and/or critical on t checklist AND requests of poor and/or critical a <b>Not Evident:</b>	he GSFIC facility cond for maintenance supp reas.	onitoring areas rated as ition assessment ort on a minimum of 80% nents and/or requests for
·	Documentation incudes condition assessment ch		-